IN THE MUNICIPAL COURT IN AND FOR THE CITY OF MUSKOGEE STATE OF OKLAHOMA

CITY OF	MUSKOGEE,)
vs.	Plaintiff,)) Case No)
	Defendant.)
	MOTION TO DISMI	SS FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION
CO	OMES NOW, Defendant,	, by and through his/her attorney of
record,	, and hereby mo	oves the Court for an Order dismissing this case for lack
of subject	matter jurisdiction over the all	leged offenses. In support of said motion the Defendant
states as f	follows:	
1)	The Defendant was charged h	nerein with the following offenses:
	Offense 1:	
	Offense 2:	
	Offense 3:	
2)	The location wherein the offe	ense allegedly occurred is located in Muskogee,
	Oklahoma, and is within the l	boundaries of the Muscogee (Creek) Nation Reservation
	which is defined as Indian co	untry pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1151. See McGirt v.
	Oklahoma, 591 U.S(20	20).
3)	The Defendant is an enrolled	member of theNation which is a
	federally recognized tribe. (S	ee Tribal enrollment card, attached hereto). Department
	of the Interior: Bureau of Ind	ian Affairs; Indian Entities Recognized by and Eligible

to Receive Services From the United States Bureau of Indian Affairs, 84 Fed. Reg. 1200 (February 19, 2019)

4) "[T]he State of Oklahoma does not have jurisdiction over crimes committed by or against an Indian in Indian Country" *State v. Klindt*, 1989 OK CR 75, № 3. Further, subject matter jurisdiction is never waived and may be raised at any time. *Magnan v. State*, 2009 OK CR 16.

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Defendant prays that the Court issue an Order dismissing this case for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

Respectfully Submitted,	